

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMAR Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR NOBUAKI HASHIMOTO 105030 8576 02/29/2000 09/486,561 08/14/2002 25944 7590 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC EXAMINER P.O. BOX 19928 CRUZ, LOURDES C ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002

2827

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						XX
			Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	() "
		Office Action Summary	09/48	36,561	HASHIMOTO, NO	BUAKI
i		Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit	
-		T. 14.11.11.00.01.T.		es C. Cruz	2827	
Peri		The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears or	1 the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
•	1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>13 May 20</u>	<u>02</u> .		
28	a)	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊟ This actio	n is non-final.		
	3)□ oositi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims				ne merits is
4	4)🛛	Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-12,14-16,21 and	<u>/ 22</u> is/are pendir	ng in the applicati	ion.	
		4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are w	vithdrawn from co	onsideration.		
	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6	3)	Claim(s) <u>8,10-12,14-16,21 and 22</u> is/	are rejected.			
7	7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8	3) 	Claim(s) 1-7 are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.		
Арр	licati	on Papers				
9	9)[]	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10	D) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)⊡ accepted or t	o) objected to by	y the Examiner.	
		Applicant may not request that any obje				
11	1)□.	The proposed drawing correction filed			disapproved by the Examin	er.
		If approved, corrected drawings are req				
12	2) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner			
Prio	rity ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13	3)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
		1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have	been received.		
		2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have	been received in	Application No	
	* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage
14		acknowledgment is made of a claim fo		•		l application).
15) The translation of the foreign lan Acknowledgment is made of a claim for				
	hmen	_	•			
2) 🗌	Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		· =	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT	

Application/Control Number: 09/486,561

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Although claims 1 and 2 have been amended, they are not considered for they are drawn to a non-elected invention. Also, see Office Action mailed 12-20-00.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 recites, "said second portion". This term lacks antecedent basis. Also, because of the lack of antecedent basis, the claim has been rendered confusing, and has been examined only as best understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily

Application/Control Number: 09/486,561 Page 3

Art Unit: 2827

published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 8,10-11,14-16,21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (US5864178).

Yamada discloses a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor chip 118 having electrodes 123; a substrate 119 having an interconnect pattern 121; and an adhesive 122; wherein said electrodes and said interconnect pattern are electrically connected; and wherein said adhesive is interposed between a surface of said substrate on which said interconnect pattern and a surface of said semiconductor chip on which said electrodes are formed, and said adhesive covers substantially all of a lateral (surface with no electrodes formed on it) surface (see that lateral surface reads on either the surface on which the electrodes are formed and the surfaces adjacent to it which have no electrodes) surface of said chip.

Yamada et al. also discloses:

- Conductive particles (Col. 5, lines 55+)
- See that said adhesive containing said particles covers said interconnect pattern in its entirety.
- Wherein at least a part of the adhesive has a thickness substantially equal to the chip

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/486,561

Art Unit: 2827

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. in view of Canning et al. (US 5783465).

Yamada et al. fails to specifically disclose a shading material. See that Canning et al. teaches a shading material "pigment" (Col. 5, lines 14+). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Canning et al. to those of Yamada et al. in order to provide shielding against reflected light.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/486,561

Art Unit: 2827

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lourdes C. Cruz whose telephone number is 703-306-

5691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00- 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722

for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

Lourdes C. Cruz

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 2827

Lourdes Cruz August 10, 2002

√ KAMAND CUNEO
PRIMARY EXAMINER